Payback Time and CO₂ emissions • OSOY-L878-7N4] v9

1. Windfarm CO2 emission saving over	Exp.	Min.	Max.
coal-fired electricity generation (t CO2 / yr)	389,936	324,367	417,789
grid-mix of electricity generation (t CO2 / yr)	107,478	89,405	115,155
fossil fuel-mix of electricity generation (t CO2 / yr)	190,730	158,658	204,353
Energy output from windfarm over lifetime (MWh)	21,192,192	17,628,624	22,705,920

Total CO2 losses due to wind farm (tCO2 eq.)	Exp.	Min.	Max.
2. Losses due to turbine life (eg. manufacture, construction, decomissioning)	153,156	136,338	153,156
3. Losses due to backup	170,294	152,555	170,294
4. Lossess due to reduced carbon fixing potential	5,357	1,394	8,376
5. Losses from soil organic matter	74,920	-20,082	622,278
6. Losses due to DOC & POC leaching	20	0	179
7. Losses due to felling forestry	0	0	0
Total losses of carbon dioxide	403,747	270,205	954,282

8. Total CO2 gains due to improvement of site (t CO2 eq.)	Exp.	Min.	Max.
8a. Change in emissions due to improvement of degraded bogs	0	0	-3,037
8b. Change in emissions due to improvement of felled forestry	0	0	0
8c. Change in emissions due to restoration of peat from borrow pits	-240	0	-1,204
8d. Change in emissions due to removal of drainage from foundations & hardstanding	-956	0	-1,451
Total change in emissions due to improvements	-1,197	0	-5,692

RESULTS	Exp.	Min.	Max.
Net emissions of carbon dioxide (t CO2 eq.)	402,550	264,512	954,282
Carbon Payback Time			
coal-fired electricity generation (years)	1.0	0.6	2.9
grid-mix of electricity generation (years)	3.7	2.3	10.7
fossil fuel-mix of electricity generation (years)	2.1	1.3	6.0
Ratio of soil carbon loss to gain by restoration (not used in Scottish applications)	62.61	-3.53	No gains!
Ratio of CO2 eq. emissions to power generation (g/kWh) (for info. only)	19.00	11.65	54.13

Payback Time and CO₂ emissions • OSOY-L878-7/14] v9





Core input data

Input data	Expected	Minimum	Maximum	Source of data
Windfarm characteristics	value	value	value	
Dimensions				
No. of turbines	36	36	36	Volume 2, Chapter 3, Section 3.3
Duration of consent (years)	50	50	50	Volume 2, Chapter 3, Section 3.6.
Performance				Valuma 2 Chantar 2
Power rating of 1 turbine (MW)	4.8	4.3	4.8	Section 3.3
Capacity factor <u>Backup</u>	28	26	30	raw data
Fraction of output to backup (%)	5	5	5	Guidance in results tab- conservative
Additional emissions due to reduced thermal efficiency of the reserve generation (%)	10	10	10	Fixed
Total CO2 emission from turbine life (tCO2 MW ⁻¹) (eg. manufacture, construction, decommissioning)	Calculate wrt installed capacity	Calculate wrt installed capacity	Calculate wrt installed capacity	
Characteristics of peatland before	windfarm deve	lopment		
Type of peatland	Acid bog	Acid bog	Acid bog	Volume 2, Chapter 8, Section 8.6
Average annual air temperature at site (°C)	7.5	3.9	11	Raw data
Average depth of peat at site (m)	0.79	0	3	Volume 4, Appendix 11.2, Figure A.2 Assumed Blanket Peat
C Content of dry peat (% by weight)	53.23	19.57	64.28	value, Scottish Soil Knowledge and Information Base
Average extent of drainage around drainage features at site (m)	5	2	6	Raw data
Average water table depth at site (m)	0.2	0.1	0.3	Raw data
Dry soil bulk density (g cm ⁻³)	0.132	0.072	0.293	Assumed decomposed peat value, National Soil Inventory of Scotland
Characteristics of bog plants				
Time required for regeneration of bog plants after restoration (years)	15	10	20	Raw data
Carbon accumulation due to C fixation by bog plants in undrained peats (tC ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹)	0.25	0.12	0.31	SNH recommended value, Calculating carbon savings from wind farms on Scottish peat lands: a new approach

Input data	Expected value	Minimum value	Maximum value	Source of data
Area of forestry plantation to be felled (ha) Average rate of carbon	0	0	0	Volume 2, Chapter 5, Section 5.7
sequestration in timber (tC ha ⁻¹ vr ⁻¹)	0	0	0	Raw data
Counterfactual emission factors				
Coal-fired plant emission factor (t CO2 MWh ⁻¹)	0.92	0.92	0.92	
Grid-mix emission factor (t CO2 MWh ⁻¹)	0.25358	0.25358	0.25358	
Fossil fuel-mix emission factor (t CO2 MWh ⁻¹)	0.45	0.45	0.45	
Borrow pits				
Number of borrow pits	9	9	9	Volume 4, Appendix 11.1, Section 1
Average length of pits (m)	150	100	150	Volume 4, Appendix 11.1, Figures
Average width of pits (m)	150	100	150	Volume 4, Appendix 11.1, Figures
Average depth of peat removed from pit (m)	0.944	0.5	1.5	Volume 4, Appendix 11.1, Section 3
Access tracks				Malanza 2. Chantan 2
Total length of access track (m)	55353.71	53995	58495.03	Volume 2, Chapter 3, Section 3.1
Existing track length (m)	29495	29495	29495.03	Volume 2, Chapter 3, Section 3.1
Length of access track that is floating road (m)	2217.2	1500	4000	Volume 2, Chapter 3, Section 3.3
Floating road width (m)	6.5	5	7	Volume 3, Figure 3.4
Floating road depth (m)	0.8	0.2	1	Volume 3, Figure 3.4
drained (m)	1670	0	2000	estimate
associated with floating roads (m)	0.5	0.5	0.5	estimate
Length of access track that is	23641.51	23000	25000	Volume 2, Chapter 3,
Excavated road width (m)	5 5	5	7	Volume 3 Figure 3.4
Average depth of peat excavated for road (m)	0.3	0	0.5	Volume 4, Appendix 11.2
Length of access track that is rock filled road (m)	0	0	0	
Rock filled road width (m)	0	0	0	
Rock filled road depth (m)	0	0	0	
Length of rock filled road that is drained (m)	0	0	0	
Average depth of drains associated with rock filled roads (m)	0	0	0	
Cable trenches				
Length of any cable trench on peat that does not follow access tracks and is lined with a permeable medium (eg. sand) (m)	0	0	0	

Input data	Expected value	Minimum value	Maximum value	Source of data
Average depth of peat cut for cable trenches (m)	0	0	0	
Additional peat excavated (not alread	ady accounted	for above)		
Volume of additional peat	11005		456040	
excavated (m ³)	41296	0	156819	Raw data
Area of additional peat excavated	52273	52273	52273	Raw data
(III) Deat Landelide Hazard				
Peat Landslide Hazard and Pick				
Association Post Practice Guide				
for Proposed Electricity	negligible	negligible	negligible	Fixed
Concration Developments				
Improvement of C sequestration at	cita by blackin	a draine rostor	ation of babita	t ata
Improvement of degraded bag	SILE DY DIOCKITI	g urains, restor		
Improvement of degraded bog				Volumo 2 Chapter 9
improved (ha)	13.92	13.92	20.28	Section 8.8
Water table depth in degraded				Based on The Hydrology of
bog before improvement (m)	0.3	0.1	0.5	Peat, Uni. Birmingham
				2016
Water table depth in degraded				Based on The Hydrology of
bog after improvement (m)	0.25	0.09	0.4	Peat, Uni. Birmingham
				2016
lime required for hydrology and				
nabitat of bog to return to its	10	5	15	Raw data
previous state on improvement				
(years)				
Period of time when effectiveness	10	F	1 5	Davidata
of the improvement in degraded	10	5	15	Raw data
bog can be guaranteed (years)				
Improvement of felled plantation				
Idiu Area of follod plantation to be				Volumo 2 Chapter F
Area of relieu plantation to be	0	0	0	Section E 7
Water table depth in folled area				Section 5.7
hoforo improvement (m)	0	0	0	
Water table depth in folled area				
after improvement (m)	0	0	0	
Time required for hydrology and				
habitat of felled plantation to				
return to its previous state on	0	0	0	
improvement (years)				
Period of time when effectiveness				
of the improvement in felled				
plantation can be guaranteed	0	0	0	
(vears)				
Restoration of peat removed from				
borrow pits				
Area of borrow pits to be restored				
(ha)	8.74	0.6	8.74	Volume 4, Appendix 11.1
Depth of water table in borrow pit				
before restoration with respect to	0.2	0.1	0.3	Raw data
the restored surface (m)				

Input data	Expected value	Minimum value	Maximum value	Source of data
Depth of water table in borrow pit after restoration with respect to the restored surface (m)	0.19	0.05	0.25	Raw data
habitat of borrow pit to return to its previous state on restoration (years)	10	5	20	estimate
Period of time when effectiveness of the restoration of peat removed from borrow pits can be guaranteed (years) Early removal of drainage from foundations and hardstanding	20	15	25	estimate
Water table depth around foundations and hardstanding before restoration (m) Water table depth around	0.2	0.1	0.3	Raw data
foundations and hardstanding	0.1	0	0.2	Raw data
Time to completion of backfilling, removal of any surface drains, and full restoration of the hydrology (years)	5	5	5	estimate
Restoration of site after decomission	ning			
Will the hydrology of the site be restored on decommissioning? Will you attempt to block any	No	No	No	
gullies that have formed due to the windfarm?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Volume 4, Appendix 8.6
Will you attempt to block all artificial ditches and facilitate rewetting?	No	No	No	Volume 4, Appendix 8.6
Will the habitat of the site be restored on decommissioning?	No	No	No	
Will you control grazing on degraded areas?	No	No	No	Volume 2, Chapter 3, Section 3.6
Will you manage areas to favour reintroduction of species	No	No	No	Volume 2, Chapter 3, Section 3.6

Methodology

Choice of methodology for calculating emission factors

Site specific (required for planning applications)

Forestry input data

N/A

Construction input data

Input data	Expected value	Minimum value	Maximum value	Source of data
Development Area				
Number of turbines in this area	36	36	36	Voume 2, Chapter 3, Section 3.3
Turbine foundations				
Depth of hole dug when constructing foundations (m)	0.8	0.1	2.5	Volume 4, Appendix 11.3, Section 3.3
Aproximate geometric shape of whole dug when constructing foundations	Circular	Circular	Circular	Volume 3, Figure 3.3
Diameter at bottom	22.5	22.5	22.5	
Diameter at surface	6	6	6	
Hardstanding				
Depth of hole dug when constructing hardstanding (m)	0.8	0.1	2.5	Volume 4, Appendix 11.3, Section 3.3
Aproximate geometric shape of whole dug when constructing hardstanding	Rectangular	Rectangular	Rectangular	Volume 3, Figure 3.6
Length at surface	103	103	103	
Width at surface	35	35	35	
Length at bottom	103	103	103	
Width at bottom	35	35	35	
Piling				
Is piling used?	No	No	No	Voume 2, Chapter 3, Section 3.3
Volume of Concrete				
Volume of concrete used (m ³) in the entire area	27000	27000	27000	Voume 2, Chapter 3, Section 3.3